

LOGARITHMIC BOUNDS ON SOBOLEV NORMS FOR TIME DEPENDENT LINEAR SCHRÖDINGER EQUATIONS

W.-M. WANG

CONTENTS

1. Introduction and statement of the theorem
2. Periodic approximations and Floquet solutions
3. Some a priori estimates
4. Bounds on Sobolev norms

1. Introduction and statement of the theorem

We consider the time dependent linear Schrödinger equation:

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u = -\Delta u + V(x, t)u, \quad (1.1)$$

on $\mathbb{T} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [-\pi, \pi)$ with periodic boundary conditions. The potential V is identified with a function on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, periodic in $\mathbb{R}$ with period 2π . (To emphasize the time dependence, we write $V(x, t)$ for V .) We further assume that V is real analytic in (x, t) in a strip $D \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\mathbb{R} + i\rho)^2$ ($|\rho| < \rho_0$, $\rho_0 > 0$), real and bounded in $\mathbb{R}^2$: $\|V\|_{\infty, \mathbb{R}^2} < C < \infty$. We prove the following result:

Theorem. *There exists $\varsigma > 3$, such that for all $s > 0$, there exists C_s , such that*

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^s} \leq C_s [\log(|t| + 2)]^{\varsigma s} \|u(0)\|_{H^s}, \quad (1.2)$$

where $u(t)$ is the solution to (1.1) with the initial condition $u_0 \in H^s$.

Remark. As we will see later, the theorem in fact extends to the class of potentials where the analyticity is replaced by some uniform estimates on the derivatives (e.g., Gevrey) and boundedness on $\mathbb{R}^2$ is weakened to logarithmic growth in t . The exponent ς will however depend on the Gevrey exponent. In this paper, we only state the theorem in the analytic case. Previously, it was proven in [B2, 3] that for $V \in C^\infty$,

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^s} \leq C_{s, \epsilon} (|t| + 1)^\epsilon \|u(0)\|_{H^s},$$

for all $\epsilon > 0$.

In [N], it was proven that for smooth time dependent potentials with certain random dependence on time, $\|u(t)\|_{H^s}$ is almost surely unbounded in time, which shows that the log in (1.2) is almost surely necessary. On the other hand, in [W], it was proven that for an explicit time periodic potential $\|u(t)\|_{H^s}$ remains bounded for all t . Clearly this belongs to the exceptional set from the point of view of random dependence in time. The present Theorem together with [N, W] give a rather complete picture of time dependent linear Schrödinger equations on the circle.

The proof consists of making periodic in time approximations by replacing $V(x, t)$ with $V_1(x, t)$ which is periodic in t with period $2\pi T$ and $V_1(x, t) = V(x, t)$ for $|t| \leq T$. The dynamics of equation (1.1) is hence equivalent to the dynamics of

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u = -\Delta u + V_1(x, t)u, \quad (1.3)$$

for $|t| \leq T$. This part of the strategy is similar to [B2, 3].

From Floquet theory, the dynamics of (1.3) can be reduced to the spectral theory of the corresponding Floquet operator

$$H = \text{diag} \left(\frac{n}{T} + j^2 \right) + \hat{V}_1^* \quad (1.4)$$

on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)$, where n is the dual variable of t , j the dual of x and

$$\hat{V}_1(j, n) = \int_{-\pi T}^{\pi T} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} V_1(x, t) e^{-ijx} e^{-i\frac{n}{T}t} dx dt \quad (1.5)$$

is the Fourier transform of V_1 .

More specifically, let $\mathbb{T}_T = [-\pi T, \pi T)$ with periodic boundary conditions. For any initial datum $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$, we identify u_0 with $\check{u}_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{T}) \times L^2(\mathbb{T}_T)$ as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{u}_0(j, 0) = \hat{u}_0(j), \\ \tilde{u}_0(j, n) = 0, \quad n \neq 0 \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

where $\tilde{u}_0$ is the Fourier transform of $\check{u}_0$ and $\hat{u}_0$ that of u_0 . All solutions to (1.3) can be written as linear superpositions of Floquet solutions, which up to a phase are inverse Fourier transforms of eigenfunctions to (1.4). Localization of eigenfunctions of (1.4) therefore leads to control over Sobolev norms of solutions to (1.3).

When T is a *fixed* integer period, which is the resonant case as $\sigma(\Delta) = \{j^2 | j \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset \mathbb{N}$, it was proven in [W] that under appropriate conditions (1.4) has pure point spectrum with exponentially localized eigenfunctions. This in turn leads to

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^s} \leq C_s \|u(0)\|_{H^s}$$

for all $s > 0$.

The main complication here is that T is a variable, in fact $T \rightarrow \infty$. Using the identification (1.6) and the fact that for $|t| \leq T$ and large frequencies $|j| > J(T)$, the H^s norm is essentially preserved, see (3.5) of Lemma 3.1, we construct approximate eigenfunctions to (1.4) by restricting H to H_Λ with $\Lambda = \{(j, n) | |j| \leq J(T), |n| \leq N(T)\}$ for appropriate J, N depending on T . This differs from [B2, 3] and enables us to obtain logarithmic bounds.

We prove that these approximate eigenfunctions are localized in the proposition in sect. 2. The separation properties of the set $\{j^2 | j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ again plays an important role here as in [W]. In sect. 4, using the proposition and some a priori estimate for linear Schrödinger equations, we prove the theorem.

2. Periodic approximations and Floquet solutions

Let $u(t)$ be the solution to

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u = -\Delta u + V(x, t)u, \quad (2.1)$$

with the initial condition $u_0 \in H^s$ ($0 < s < \infty$). We want to bound $\|u(t)\|_{H^s}$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. (When $s = 0$, the L^2 norm is conserved.) We therefore look at (2.1) for $0 \leq |t| \leq \pi T$, $T \gg 1$, with the initial condition u_0 . Let $\tilde{\phi} \in C_0^\infty[-\pi, \pi]$ be a (fixed) Gevrey function of order α :

$$\max_{\tau \in [-\pi, \pi]} \left| \frac{\partial^m \tilde{\phi}(\tau)}{\partial \tau^m} \right| \leq C^{m+1} (m!)^\alpha, \quad 1 < \alpha < \infty \quad (2.2)$$

satisfying

$$\begin{cases} 0 \leq \tilde{\phi} \leq 1, \\ \tilde{\phi}(\tau) = 1, |\tau| \leq 1 \\ \tilde{\phi}(\tau) = 0, |\tau| \geq \pi, \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

(cf. [Hö]).

Let

$$\phi(t) = \tilde{\phi}\left(\frac{t}{T}\right). \quad (2.4)$$

Define

$$V_1(x, t) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} V(x, t + 2\pi jT) \phi(t + 2\pi jT). \quad (2.5)$$

Then $V_1(x, t)$ is 2π periodic in x , $2\pi T$ periodic in t , analytic in x , Gevrey in t of order α , ($1 < \alpha < \infty$).

$$V_1(x, t) = V(x, t) \quad (2.6)$$

for $0 \leq |t| \leq T$, and

$$\|V_1\|_\infty \leq 2\|V\|_\infty. \quad (2.7)$$

So for $0 \leq |t| \leq T$, we can study instead the equation

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u = -\Delta u + V_1(x, t)u. \quad (2.8)$$

V_1 has the Fourier decomposition:

$$V_1(x, t) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{V}_1(j, n) e^{i(jx + \frac{n}{T}t)}, \quad (2.9)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{V}_1(j, n)| &\leq C e^{-c|j|}, & |j| &\geq (\log T)^\delta, \\ &\leq C e^{-c|\frac{n}{T}|^{1/\alpha}}, & |n| &\geq T(\log T)^\delta, \\ && (T \gg 1, 0 < C, c, \delta < \infty). \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Since we seek solutions to (2.8) for finite time: $|t| \leq T$, it is convenient to replace V_1 by V_2 defined as

$$V_2(x, t) = \sum_{\substack{|j| \leq (\log T)^\sigma \\ |n| \leq T(\log T)^\sigma}} \hat{V}_1(j, n) e^{i(jx + \frac{n}{T}t)}, \quad (2.11)$$

where $\varsigma > \sigma > \alpha + \delta > 1$. Using (2.10),

$$\|V_1 - V_2\|_\infty \leq e^{-(\log T)^{\sigma'/\alpha}} \ll \frac{1}{T^p} \quad (2.12)$$

provided $p < (\log T)^{\sigma'/\alpha-1}$ ($T \gg 1$), where $1 < \alpha + \delta < \sigma' < \sigma$. For $|t| \leq T$, (2.12) will permit us to use Floquet solutions to

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u = -\Delta u + V_2(x, t)u, \quad (2.13)$$

in the approximation process in view of the following basic fact.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\tilde{u}$ be an approximative solution of (2.8):*

$$(i\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Delta - V(x, t))\tilde{u} = \eta,$$

with $\tilde{u}(t = 0) = u_0$, where $\|\eta(t)\|_{L^2} \leq \epsilon$ for all $|t| \leq T$. Then the solution u to (2.8) with $\tilde{u}(t = 0) = u_0$ satisfies

$$\|u(t) - \tilde{u}(t)\|_{L^2} < \epsilon|t| \leq \epsilon T$$

for $|t| \leq T$.

Proof. Let $S(t)$ denote the flow of (2.8). This follows from the integral equation

$$(\tilde{u} - u)(t) = i \int_0^t S(t)S(\tau)^{-1}\eta(\tau)d\tau$$

and $\|S(t)\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} = 1$. $\square$

Floquet solutions to (2.13)

Since (2.13) is time periodic with period $2\pi T$, any L^2 solution can be written as a linear superposition of Floquet solutions of the form $e^{iEt}\psi(x, t)$, where $\psi(x, t)$ is 2π periodic in x and $2\pi T$ periodic in t :

$$\psi(x, t) = \sum_{(j, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \hat{\psi}(j, n) e^{i(jx + \frac{n}{T}t)}, \quad (2.14)$$

E is called the Floquet eigenvalue; $E, \hat{\psi}$ satisfy the eigenvalue equation:

$$\begin{aligned} H\hat{\psi} &= [\text{diag}(\frac{n}{T} + j^2) + \hat{V}_2*]\hat{\psi} \\ &= E\hat{\psi} \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)$, where $*$ denotes convolution:

$$(\hat{V}_2 * \hat{\psi})(j, n) = \sum_{(j', n') \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \hat{V}_2(j - j', n - n') \hat{\psi}(j', n'), \quad (2.16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{V}_2(j, n) &= \hat{V}_1(j, n) & \text{if } |j| \leq (\log T)^\sigma \text{ and } |n| \leq T(\log T)^\sigma, \sigma > \alpha + \delta > 1, \\ &= 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

and $\hat{V}_1$ satisfies (2.10).

We identify the initial condition $\hat{u}_0 \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ with $\tilde{u}_0 \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)$, where

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{u}_0(j, 0) = \hat{u}_0(j) \\ \tilde{u}_0(j, n) = 0, \quad n \neq 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.18)$$

Since we are only concerned about finite time: $|t| \leq T$, in view of (2.12, 2.17, 2.18), Lemma 2.1, the a priori estimate (3.5) and some related estimates, which we will prove in sect. 3 (see Lemma 3.1), it is sufficient to solve the eigenvalue problem in (2.15) in a finite region

$$\Lambda = \{(j, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid |j| \leq J(T), |n| \leq AT(\log T)^\sigma\}, \quad (2.19)$$

where $J(T) > T^s$ depending on T and the Sobolev index s , $A > 1$ as in the following proposition, $\sigma > \alpha + \delta > 1$ as in (2.1).

For any subset $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$, define $H_{\mathcal{S}}$ to be the restriction of H to $\mathcal{S}$:

$$H_{\mathcal{S}}(n, j; n'j') = \begin{cases} H(n, j; n'j'), & (n, j) \text{ and } (n', j') \in \mathcal{S} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2.20)$$

We have the following estimates on eigenfunctions of H_{Λ} .

Proposition. *Assume*

$$H_{\Lambda}\xi = E\xi, \quad \|\xi\|_{\ell^2(\Lambda)} = 1. \quad (2.21)$$

Define

$$\Omega_0 = \{(j, n) \in \Lambda \mid |j| \leq 4A(\log T)^\sigma\}, \quad (\sigma > \alpha + \delta > 1) \quad (2.22)$$

and for any $(j_0, n_0) \in \Lambda$, define

$$\Omega'(j_0, n_0) = \{(j, n) \in \Lambda \mid ||j| - |j_0|| \leq (\log T)^\sigma, |n - n_0| \leq T(\log T)^\sigma\}, \quad (\sigma > \alpha + \delta > 1). \quad (2.23)$$

Then for all ξ eigenfunctions of H_{Λ} as in (2.21), ξ satisfies either

$$\|\xi\|_{\ell^2(\Lambda \setminus \Omega_0)} \leq e^{-(\log T)^{(\frac{\sigma' - \delta}{\alpha})}} \quad (2.24)$$

$$\text{or } \|\xi\|_{\ell^2(\Lambda \setminus \Omega')} \leq e^{-(\log T)^{(\frac{\sigma' - \delta}{\alpha})}}, \quad (1 < \alpha + \delta < \sigma' < \sigma). \quad (2.25)$$

for some $\Omega' = \Omega'(j_0, n_0)$, $(j_0, n_0) \in \Lambda$.

Proof. For any given E , we define the resonant set Ω such that if $(j, n) \in \Omega$, then

$$\left| \frac{n}{T} + j^2 - E \right| \leq (\log T)^\sigma, \quad (\sigma > \alpha + \delta > 1). \quad (2.26)$$

So

$$\|(H_{\Lambda \setminus \Omega} - E)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{(\log T)^\sigma - \|V_2\|_\infty} \leq \frac{2}{(\log T)^\sigma}, \quad (2.27)$$

if

$$\|V_2\|_\infty < \frac{1}{2}(\log T)^\sigma.$$

From (2.26), we have

$$E - \frac{n}{T} - (\log T)^\sigma \leq j^2 \leq E - \frac{n}{T} + (\log T)^\sigma, \quad (\sigma > \alpha + \delta > 1) \quad (2.28)$$

for $(j, n) \in \Omega$. We distinguish the following two cases:

(i) $E \leq 5A^2(\log T)^{2\sigma}$

The less or equal part of (2.28) gives $|j| \leq 3A(\log T)^\sigma$. So $\Omega \subset \{(j, n) \in \Lambda \mid |j| \leq 3A(\log T)^\sigma\}$. Define $B = \Lambda \setminus \Omega$, $B_0 = \Lambda \setminus \Omega_0$, $B_0 \subset B$. Let P_B, P_{B_0} be projections onto the sets B, B_0 .

Assume ξ is an eigenfunction with eigenvalue $E \leq 5A^2(\log T)^{2\sigma}$. Then

$$P_B \xi = -(H_B - E)^{-1} P_B \Gamma \xi \quad (2.29)$$

where

$$\Gamma = H_\Lambda - H_B \oplus H_\Omega. \quad (2.30)$$

So

$$P_B \xi = -(H_B - E)^{-1} P_B \Gamma P_\Omega \xi. \quad (2.31)$$

Let

$$\Gamma_0 = H_B - H_{B_0} \oplus H_{B \setminus B_0}. \quad (2.32)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} P_{B_0} \xi &= P_{B_0} P_B \xi \\ &= -P_{B_0} (H_{B_0} - E)^{-1} P_{B_0} \Gamma P_\Omega \xi \\ &\quad + P_{B_0} (H_{B_0} - E)^{-1} \Gamma_0 (H_B - E)^{-1} P_B \Gamma P_\Omega \xi, \end{aligned} \quad (2.33)$$

where we used $B_0 \subset B$. Using (2.27) on $(H_{B_0} - E)^{-1}$ and $(H_B - E)^{-1}$ and (2.10, 2.11, 2.15) on Γ, Γ_0 , we obtain

$$\|P_{B_0} \xi\|_{\ell^2} \leq \frac{4e^{-c(\log T)^{\sigma-\delta}}}{(\log T)^{2\sigma}} < e^{-(\log T)^{\frac{\sigma'-\delta}{\alpha}}} \quad (1 < \alpha + \delta < \sigma' < \sigma, T \gg 1), \quad (2.34)$$

which is (2.24).

(ii) $E > 5A^2(\log T)^{2\sigma}$

The greater or equal part of (2.28) gives

$$|j| \geq 2A(\log T)^\sigma. \quad (2.35)$$

So if there exist $(j, n), (j', n') \in \Omega \subset \Lambda$, $|j| \neq |j'|$, then

$$\left| \frac{n - n'}{T} + j^2 - j'^2 \right| \leq 2(\log T)^\sigma$$

from (2.26). Using (2.35), this implies

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{n - n'}{T} \right| &\geq (|j| + |j'|)(|j| - |j'|) - 2(\log T)^\sigma \\ &\geq (4A - 2)(\log T)^\sigma \\ &> 2A(\log T)^\sigma \end{aligned}$$

if $A > 1$, which is a contradiction from the definition of Λ . So $|j| = |j'|$ and

$$\left| \frac{n - n'}{T} \right| \leq 2(\log T)^\sigma < 2A(\log T)^\sigma$$

for $A > 1$, if both $(j, n), (j', n') \in \Omega$. (2.25) follows by using the same argument as in (2.29-2.34) with Ω' replacing Ω_0 . $\square$

3. Some a priori estimates

In this section, we collect some basic estimates on the flow of linear Schrödinger equations with smooth potentials, cf. [B1]. Since we will need estimates on H^s norms for $s > 0$ dependent on T , for completeness we also include their proofs, making explicit the dependence on s .

Let $S(t)$ be the flow of the linear Schrödinger equation in (2.1). Then $S(t)$ is unitary: $\|S(t)\|_{\ell^2 \rightarrow \ell^2} = 1$. Let Π_J denote the Fourier multiplier defined as

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\Pi}_J &= 1, & |j| &\leq J/2, \\ &= 2(1 - |j|/J), & J/2 &\leq |j| \leq J, \\ &= 0, & |j| &> J.\end{aligned}\tag{3.1}$$

Since V is real analytic in (x, t) and bounded in D , we have

$$\left\| \frac{\partial^m V}{\partial x^m} \right\|_{\infty, \mathbb{T}} \leq C^{m+1} m!, \quad m = 0, 1, \dots\tag{3.2}$$

We have the following estimates on the H^s norms:

Lemma 3.1.

$$\|S(t)\|_{H^s \rightarrow H^s} \leq C^s s! (|t|^s + 1),\tag{3.3}$$

$$\|[V, \Pi_J]\|_{H^s \rightarrow H^s} \leq \frac{C s!}{J} \quad (J \gg 1),\tag{3.4}$$

$$\|(I - \Pi_J)S(t)\|_{H^s \rightarrow H^s} \leq 1 + \frac{(C^s s!)^2}{J} |t|^{s+1} \quad (J > |t|^s),\tag{3.5}$$

$$\|[S(t), \Pi_J]\|_{H^s \rightarrow H^s} \leq \frac{(C^s s!)^4}{J} (|t|^{3s+1} + 1) \quad (J > |t|^s).\tag{3.6}$$

Remark. The same estimates hold for the flow of (2.8) as only the x -derivatives are involved.

Proof. Using (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \|u(t)\|_{H^s}^2 &= 2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\partial^s}{\partial x^s} u(t), \frac{\partial^s}{\partial x^s} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(t) \right) \\ &= 2 \operatorname{Im} \left(\frac{\partial^s}{\partial x^s} u(t), \frac{\partial^s}{\partial x^s} (\Delta u + V u) \right) \\ &= 2 \operatorname{Im} \left(\frac{\partial^s}{\partial x^s} u(t), \sum_{\substack{\gamma+\beta=s \\ \gamma \geq 1}} \frac{\partial^\gamma V}{\partial x^\gamma} \frac{\partial^\beta u}{\partial x^\beta} \right).\end{aligned}\tag{3.7}$$

It follows that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \|u(t)\|_{H^s} \leq \sum_{\substack{\gamma+\beta=s \\ \gamma \geq 1}} \|u(t)\|_{H^\beta} C^{\gamma+1} \gamma!,\tag{3.8}$$

where we used (3.2).

Using interpolation:

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^{s-1}} \leq \|u(t)\|_{H^s}^{\frac{s-1}{s}} \|u(t)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{s}} \quad (s \geq 1),$$

and more generally,

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^{s-\gamma}} \leq \|u(t)\|_{H^s}^{\frac{s-\gamma}{s}} \|u(t)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\gamma}{s}} \quad (s \geq \gamma). \quad (3.9)$$

Using (3.9) in (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \|u(t)\|_{H^s} &\leq C^2 \|u(t)\|_{H^s}^{1-\frac{1}{s}} \|u(t)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{s}} + C^3 \|u(t)\|_{H^s}^{1-\frac{2}{s}} \|u(t)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{s}} + \cdots \\ &+ C^{\gamma+1} \gamma! \|u(t)\|_{H^s}^{1-\frac{\gamma}{s}} \|u(t)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\gamma}{s}} + \cdots + C^{s+1} s! \|u(t)\|_{L^2} \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Since

$$\frac{s}{\gamma} \|u(t)\|_{H^s}^{1-\frac{\gamma}{s}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \|u(t)\|_{H^s}^{\frac{\gamma}{s}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \|u(t)\|_{H^s} \quad (1 \leq \gamma \leq s), \quad (3.11)$$

we obtain from (3.10),

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^s} \leq C^s s! (|t|^s + 1) \|u_0\|_{H^s}. \quad (3.12)$$

Hence

$$\|S(t)\|_{H^s \rightarrow H^s} \leq C^s s! (|t|^s + 1). \quad (3.13)$$

To prove (3.4), it is more convenient to work with the Fourier variables j dual to x . Let $\hat{V}$ be the partial Fourier transform with respect to x . we have

$$[V, \Pi_J](j, j') = \hat{V}(j - j') (\hat{\Pi}_J(j') - \hat{\Pi}_J(j)), \quad (3.14)$$

where $\hat{\Pi}_J$ is defined in (3.1). Since V is analytic, periodic in x and $|V(x, t)| < C$ for all t ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{V}(j - j')| &\leq C e^{-c|j-j'|}, \\ \text{and from (3.1)} \quad |\hat{\Pi}_J(j') - \hat{\Pi}_J(j)| &\leq 1, \quad |j - j'| \geq J/2, \\ &\leq \frac{2}{J} |j - j'|, \quad |j - j'| < J/2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

Using (3.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |[V, \Pi_J](j, j')| &\leq C e^{-c|j-j'|}, \quad |j - j'| \geq J/2 \\ &\leq \frac{2C}{J} |j - j'| e^{-c|j-j'|}, \quad |j - j'| < J/2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

From Schur's lemma, we then obtain (3.4).

To prove (3.5), we proceed similarly to the proof of (3.3). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \|(I - \Pi_J)u(t)\|_{H^s}^2 &= 2 \operatorname{Im} \left((I - \Pi_J) \frac{\partial^s}{\partial x^s} u(t), (I - \Pi_J) V \frac{\partial^s}{\partial x^s} u(t) \right) \\ &\quad + 2 \operatorname{Im} \left((I - \Pi_J) \frac{\partial^s}{\partial x^s} u(t), (I - \Pi_J) \sum_{\substack{\gamma+\beta=s \\ \gamma \geq 1}} \frac{\partial^\gamma V}{\partial x^\gamma} \frac{\partial^\beta u}{\partial x^\beta} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \|(I - \Pi_J)u(t)\|_{H^s} \\ &\leq [V, \Pi_J] \|u(t)\|_{H^s} + \left[\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}, \Pi_J \right] \|u(t)\|_{H^{s-1}} + C \|(I - \Pi_J)u(t)\|_{H^{s-1}} \\ &\quad + \cdots + \left[\frac{\partial^\gamma V}{\partial x^\gamma}, \Pi_J \right] \|u(t)\|_{H^{s-\gamma}} + C^{\gamma+1} \gamma! \|(I - \Pi_J)u(t)\|_{H^{s-\gamma}} \\ &\quad + \cdots + \left[\frac{\partial^s V}{\partial x^s}, \Pi_J \right] \|u(t)\|_{L^2} + C^{s+1} s! \|(I - \Pi_J)u(t)\|_{L^2} \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

Using

$$\left\| \left[\frac{\partial^\gamma V}{\partial x^\gamma}, \Pi_J \right] \right\|_{H^{s-\gamma} \rightarrow H^{s-\gamma}} \leq \frac{Cs!}{J}, \quad (3.19)$$

$$\|(I - \Pi_J)u(t)\|_{H^{s-\gamma}} \leq \frac{1}{J^\gamma} \|u(t)\|_{H^s} \quad (3.20)$$

and (3.9) in (3.18) and integrating over t , we obtain (3.5).

To prove (3.6), assume u is a solution to (2.1)

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u + \Delta u - V(x, t)u = 0,$$

then

$$(i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Delta) \Pi_J u - V(\Pi_J u) = -[V, \Pi_J]u. \quad (3.21)$$

From Lemma 2.1

$$\begin{aligned} &[S(t), \Pi_J]u_0 \\ &= S(t)\Pi_J u_0 - \Pi_J S(t)u_0 \\ &= -i \int_0^t S(t)S(\tau)^{-1} [V, \Pi_J]u(\tau) d\tau \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

Using (3.3, 3.4) in (3.22), we obtain (3.6). $\square$

4. Bounds on Sobolev norms

Let $u_0 \in H^s$ be an initial datum, normalized so that $\|u_0\|_{H^s} = 1$. We assume $0 < s \leq \log T$, cf. (4.49). Let

$$J = T^{10s}. \quad (4.1)$$

Then from (3.5)

$$\begin{aligned}\|S(0, t)u_0\|_{H^s} &= \|\Pi_{J/4}S(0, t)u_0\|_{H^s} + \|(1 - \Pi_{J/4})S(0, t)u_0\|_{H^s} \\ &\leq \|\Pi_{J/4}S(0, t)u_0\|_{H^s} + 2\|u_0\|_{H^s}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.2}$$

In view of the proposition and (4.2), let

$$J_0 = 4A(\log T)^\sigma \quad (A > 1, \sigma > \alpha + \delta > 1).\tag{4.3}$$

We make the following decomposition:

$$\|\Pi_{J/4}S(0, t)u_0\|_{H^s} \leq \|\Pi_{J/4}S(0, t)\Pi_{2J_0}u_0\|_{H^s}\tag{4.4}$$

$$+ \|\Pi_{J/4}S(0, t)(\Pi_{J/2} - \Pi_{2J_0})u_0\|_{H^s}\tag{4.5}$$

$$+ \|\Pi_{J/4}S(0, t)(I - \Pi_{J/2})u_0\|_{H^s}.\tag{4.6}$$

(4.6) can be estimated using (3.5):

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Pi_{J/4}S(0, t)(I - \Pi_{J/2})u_0\|_{H^s} &\leq \|[S(0, t), \Pi_{J/2}]u_0\|_{H^s} \\ &\leq 2\frac{(C^s s!)^4}{J}(1 + T^{3s+1})\|u_0\|_{H^s} \\ &< 1.\end{aligned}\tag{4.7}$$

To estimate (4.5), we use the following

Lemma 4.1. *Let ϕ be such that*

$$\text{supp } \hat{\phi} \subseteq [-J/2, -2J_0] \cup [2J_0, J/2],\tag{4.8}$$

then

$$\|\Pi_{J/4}S(t)\phi\|_{H^s} \leq C^s \|\phi\|_{H^s}.\tag{4.9}$$

Proof. We identify $\hat{\phi}$ with $\tilde{\phi}$ defined as

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{\phi}(j, 0) = \hat{\phi}(j), \\ \tilde{\phi}(j, n) = 0, \quad n \neq 0. \end{cases}\tag{4.10}$$

$\text{supp } \tilde{\phi} \subset \Lambda$, where Λ is defined in (2.19). $\tilde{\phi} \in \ell^2(\Lambda)$. So we can expand $\tilde{\phi}$ using the eigenfunctions ξ of H_Λ :

$$\tilde{\phi} = \sum (\tilde{\phi}, \xi)\xi.\tag{4.11}$$

Let χ_S be the characteristic function of the set S :

$$\chi_S|_S = 1, \quad \chi_S|_{\Lambda \setminus S} = 0.\tag{4.12}$$

For an eigenfunction ξ satisfying (2.25), let

$$\xi' = \chi_{\Omega'} \xi, \quad Q = \{\xi' | \xi \text{ satisfies (2.25)}\}. \quad (4.13)$$

Using (4.8, 4.10, 2.24, 2.25) in (4.11), we have

$$\|\tilde{\phi} - \sum_{\xi' \in Q} (\tilde{\phi}, \xi') \xi'\|_{\ell^2(\Lambda)} \leq \mathcal{O}(e^{-(\log T)^{(\frac{\sigma' - \delta}{\alpha})}} \sqrt{|\Lambda|} \|\hat{\phi}\|_{\ell^2}). \quad (4.14)$$

Since $|\Lambda| \leq T^{10s+2}$ from (2.19, 4.1) and $0 < s \leq \log T$, we have

$$\|\tilde{\phi} - \sum_{\xi' \in Q} (\tilde{\phi}, \xi') \xi'\|_{\ell^2(\Lambda)} \leq e^{-\frac{2}{3}(\log T)^{(\frac{\sigma' - \delta}{\alpha})}}, \quad (4.15)$$

assuming

$$\sigma' > 2\alpha + \delta > 2. \quad (4.16)$$

From (4.13, 2.25), ξ' is an approximate eigenfunction of H_Λ :

$$\begin{aligned} \|(H_\Lambda - E)\xi'\|_{\ell^2(\Lambda)} &\leq \|(H_\Lambda - E)\|_{\ell^2 \rightarrow \ell^2} \|\xi'\|_{\ell^2(\Lambda \setminus \Omega')} \\ &= e^{-\frac{2}{3}(\log T)^{(\frac{\sigma' - \delta}{\alpha})}}, \quad (\sigma' > 2\alpha + \delta > 2). \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

Hence ξ' is an approximate eigenfunction of $\tilde{H}$:

$$\tilde{H} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{diag}(j^2 + \frac{n}{T}) + \hat{V}_1 * = H + (\hat{V}_1 - \hat{V}_2) *, \quad (4.18)$$

where H as defined in (2.15), $\hat{V}_1$ is defined in (2.5, 2.9) satisfying (2.10). This is because

$$(\tilde{H} - E)\xi' = (H_\Lambda - E)\xi' + \Gamma\xi' + (\hat{V}_2 - \hat{V}_1) * \xi', \quad (4.19)$$

where H_Λ as defined in (2.20, 2.19),

$$\Gamma = H - H_\Lambda \oplus H_{\Lambda^c} \quad (4.20)$$

and

$$\|(\tilde{H} - E)\xi'\|_{\ell^2} \leq 2e^{-\frac{2}{3}(\log T)^{(\frac{\sigma' - \delta}{\alpha})}} \quad (\sigma' > 2\alpha + \delta > 2), \quad (4.21)$$

using (4.17, 2.12).

Define

$$\check{\xi}(x, t) = e^{iEt} \sum_{(j, n) \in \Omega'} \xi'(j, n) e^{i(jx + \frac{n}{T}t)}. \quad (4.22)$$

From (4.18), $\check{\xi}$ is an approximate Floquet solution of (2.8) satisfying

$$(i\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Delta - V_1)\check{\xi} = e^{-\frac{2}{3}(\log T)^{(\frac{\sigma' - \delta}{\alpha})}} \quad (\sigma' > 2\alpha + \delta > 2). \quad (4.23)$$

Let $S(t)$ be the flow for equation (2.8), using Lemma 2.1

$$\|\check{\xi}(t) - S(t)\check{\xi}(0)\|_2 \leq T e^{-\frac{2}{3}(\log T)^{(\frac{\sigma' - \delta}{\alpha})}}. \quad (4.24)$$

Because of localization properties of ξ' in (2.25), we will have good control over $\|\check{\xi}(t)\|_{H^s}$ (see (4.28-4.37)).

In view of (4.24), we express ϕ as an approximate linear combination of $\check{\xi}(0)$ as follows. Taking the inverse Fourier transform of the expression under the norm sign in (4.14), we have equivalently

$$\|\tilde{\phi}(x, \theta) - \sum_{\xi' \in Q} (\tilde{\phi}, \xi') \sum_{(j, n) \in \Omega'} \xi'(j, n) e^{i(jx + \frac{n}{T}\theta)}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}_T)} \leq e^{-\frac{2}{3}(\log T)^{(\frac{\sigma' - \delta}{\alpha})}}, \quad (4.25)$$

where $\mathbb{T}$ denotes $[-\pi, \pi)$ with periodic boundary conditions and $\mathbb{T}_T$ denotes $[-\pi T, \pi T)$ with periodic boundary conditions.

So $\phi(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \phi(x, 0)$ as a function on $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\phi(x) - \sum_{\xi' \in Q} (\tilde{\phi}, \xi') \sum_{(j, n) \in \Omega'} \xi'(j, n) e^{ijx}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \\ & \leq T^{1/2} (\log T)^{\sigma/2} e^{-\frac{2}{3}(\log T)^{(\frac{\sigma' - \delta}{\alpha})}} \\ & \leq e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\log T)^{(\frac{\sigma' - \delta}{\alpha})}}, \quad (\sigma > \sigma' > 2\alpha + \delta > 2). \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

Therefore for $|t| \leq T$, (4.24, 4.26) give

$$\begin{aligned} & \|S(t)\phi - \sum_{\xi' \in Q} (\tilde{\phi}, \xi') \check{\xi}(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \\ & \leq e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\log T)^{(\frac{\sigma' - \delta}{\alpha})}} + \sum_{\xi' \in Q} |(\tilde{\phi}, \xi')| \|\check{\xi}(t) - S(t)\check{\xi}(0)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \\ & \leq e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\log T)^{(\frac{\sigma' - \delta}{\alpha})}} + |\Lambda| T e^{-\frac{2}{3}(\log T)^{(\frac{\sigma' - \delta}{\alpha})}} \\ & \leq 2e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\log T)^{(\frac{\sigma' - \delta}{\alpha})}} \quad (\sigma' > 2\alpha + \delta > 2), \end{aligned} \quad (4.27)$$

where we used (4.1, 2.19).

So we only need to estimate $\|\sum_{\xi' \in Q} (\tilde{\phi}, \xi') \check{\xi}(t)\|_{H^s}$ ($s > 0$). Let δ_j be the Dirac delta function at j . We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\sum_{\xi' \in Q} (\tilde{\phi}, \xi') \check{\xi}(t)\|_{H^s} \\ & = [\sum_j |j|^{2s} |\sum_{\xi' \in Q} (\tilde{\phi}, \xi') (\check{\xi}(t), \delta_j)|^2]^{1/2} \\ & = [\sum_j |j|^{2s} |\sum_k \hat{\phi}(k) \xi'(k, 0) (\check{\xi}(t), \delta_j)|^2]^{1/2} \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

From the support of ξ' (2.25),

$$||j| - |k|| \leq 2(\log T)^\sigma \quad (\sigma > 2). \quad (4.29)$$

Since

$$|j| > 2J_0 = 8A(\log T)^\sigma \quad (A > 1) \quad (4.30)$$

from (4.3), (4.29, 4.30) imply

$$|j|/2 < |k| < 2|j|. \quad (4.31)$$

We now make a dyadic decomposition of ϕ . Let R be dyadic and

$$R/2 < |j| < 2R. \quad (4.32)$$

So

$$R/4 < |k| < 4R. \quad (4.33)$$

Let

$$\phi_R = \sum_{R/4 < |k| < 4R} \hat{\phi}(k) e^{ikx}. \quad (4.34)$$

We then have

$$\begin{aligned} (4.28) &\leq \left[\sum_{R \text{ dyadic}} 4^s R^{2s} \sum_{R/2 < |j| < 2R} \left| \sum_k \sum_{\xi' \in Q} \hat{\phi}_R(k) \xi'(k, 0) (\check{\xi}(t), \delta_j) \right|^2 \right]^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left[\sum_{R \text{ dyadic}} 4^s R^{2s} \left\| \sum_{\xi' \in Q} (\tilde{\phi}_R, \xi') \check{\xi}(t) \right\|_2^2 \right]^{1/2} \end{aligned} \quad (4.35)$$

Using (4.27) and since $\text{supp } \phi_R \subset \text{supp } \phi \subseteq [-J/2, -2J_0] \cup [2J_0, J/2]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{\xi' \in Q} (\tilde{\phi}_R, \xi') \check{\xi}(t) \right\|_2 &\leq \|S(t)\phi_R\|_2 + 2e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\log T)(\frac{\sigma' - \delta}{\alpha})} \|\phi_R\|_2 \\ &\leq 2\|\phi_R\|_2 \quad (\sigma' > 2\alpha + \delta > 2). \end{aligned} \quad (4.36)$$

Using (4.36) in (4.35), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \sum_{\xi' \in Q} (\tilde{\phi}, \xi') \check{\xi}(t) \right\|_{H^s} \\ &\leq \left[\sum_{R \text{ dyadic}} 4^s R^{2s} \cdot 4 \|\phi_R\|_2^2 \right]^{1/2} \\ &\leq C^s \|\phi\|_{H^s}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.37)$$

Combining (4.37) with (4.27, 4.1), we obtain (4.9) with a slightly larger C . $\square$

Proof of the Theorem. We use the decomposition in (4.4-4.6), which decomposes into low, intermediate and high frequencies. (4.9) controls (4.5), the intermediate frequencies: $2J_0 \leq |j| \leq J/2$, (4.7) controls (4.6), the high frequencies: $|j| > J/2$. So the

only work left is to control (4.4), the low frequencies: $|j| \leq 2J_0$, which we do by iterating $S(0, T) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} S(T)$, $|T|$ times and each time making again the decomposition as in (4.4-4.6).

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Pi_{J/4}S(0, t)\Pi_{2J_0}u_0\|_{H^s} \\ & \leq \|\Pi_{J/4}S(1, t)\Pi_{2J_0}S(0, 1)\Pi_{2J_0}u_0\|_{H^s} \end{aligned} \quad (4.38)$$

$$+ \|\Pi_{J/4}S(1, t)(\Pi_{J/2} - \Pi_{2J_0})S(0, 1)\Pi_{2J_0}u_0\|_{H^s} \quad (4.39)$$

$$+ \|\Pi_{J/4}S(1, t)(I - \Pi_{J/2})S(0, 1)\Pi_{2J_0}u_0\|_{H^s}, \quad (4.40)$$

which is the analogue at $t = 1$ of the decomposition in (4.4-4.6), with $S(0, 1)\Pi_{2J_0}u_0$ replacing u_0 . So we have

$$\begin{aligned} (4.39) & \leq C^s \|S(0, 1)\Pi_{2J_0}u_0\|_{H^s} \\ & \leq 2C^{2s}s!, \end{aligned} \quad (4.41)$$

where we used

$$\|S(0, 1)\|_{H^s \rightarrow H^s} \leq 2C^s s! \quad (4.42)$$

from (3.3) and

$$\begin{aligned} (4.40) & \leq \|S(0, 1)\Pi_{2J_0}u_0\|_{H^s} \cdot \frac{(C^s s!)^4}{J} (1 + |T|^{3s+1}) \\ & \leq 2C^s s! \end{aligned} \quad (4.43)$$

(4.41, 4.42) are the analogues of (4.9, 4.7), which control (4.5, 4.6).

Using (4.41, 4.42), we have after one iteration:

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Pi_{J/4}S(0, t)\Pi_{2J_0}u_0\|_{H^s} \\ & \leq \|\Pi_{J/4}S(1, t)\Pi_{2J_0}S(0, 1)\Pi_{2J_0}u_0\|_{H^s} \\ & \quad + 4C^{2s}s!. \end{aligned} \quad (4.44)$$

After r iterations, the analogue of the bound on (4.39) is

$$\begin{aligned} & C^s \|S(r, r-1)\Pi_{2J_0}S(r-1, r-2)\Pi_{2J_0} \cdots \Pi_{2J_0}u_0\|_{H^s} \\ & \leq C^s \|S(r, r-1)\|_{H^s \rightarrow H^s} \cdot (2J_0)^s \\ & \leq 2C^{2s}s!(2J_0)^s; \end{aligned} \quad (4.45)$$

while the analogue of the bound on (4.40) is

$$2C^s s!(2J_0)^s. \quad (4.46)$$

After $|T|$ iterations, we then have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Pi_{J/4}S(0, t)\Pi_{2J_0}u_0\|_{H^s} \\ & \leq \|\Pi_{2J_0}S(T-1, T)\Pi_{2J_0} \cdots \Pi_{2J_0}S(r-1, r)\Pi_{2J_0} \cdots \Pi_{2J_0}S(0, 1)\Pi_{2J_0}u_0\|_{H^s} \\ & \quad + 4C^{2s}s!(2J_0)^s|T| \\ & \leq |T|(sJ_0)^s \cdot C^s \end{aligned} \quad (4.47)$$

with a larger C .

Using (4.47) in (4.4) and combining with (4.9, 4.7), we obtain

$$\|\Pi_{J/4}S(0, T)u_0\|_{H^s} \leq |T|(sJ_0)^s C^s. \quad (4.48)$$

Using (4.48) in (4.2), we have

$$\|S(0, T)u_0\|_{H^s} \leq C^s |T|(sJ_0)^s \quad (4.49)$$

for all $0 < s \leq \log T$. Interpolating with the L^2 bound $\|u_0\|_{L^2} \leq 1$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|S(0, T)\|_{H^{s'} \rightarrow H^{s'}} &\leq |T|^{s'/s} (CsJ_0)^{s'} \\ &\leq C^{s'} (\log T)^{(\sigma+1)s'} \quad (\sigma > 2) \end{aligned} \quad (4.50)$$

with a larger C , for all $0 < s' < s$, where we took $s = \log |T|$ and used (4.3).

For a fixed $s > 0$, for $|t| < e^s$, the a priori bound (3.3) gives

$$\|S(0, t)\|_{H^s \rightarrow H^s} \leq C^s s! e^{s^2}, \quad (4.51)$$

for $|t| \geq e^s$, we use (4.50). This gives immediately

$$\|S(0, t)\|_{H^s \rightarrow H^s} \leq C_s (\log(|t| + 2))^{(\sigma+1)s}$$

for all $s > 0$. Let $\varsigma = \sigma + 1$, we obtain the theorem. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [B1] J. Bourgain, *Growth of Sobolev norms in linear Schrödinger operators with quasi-periodic potential*, Commun. Math. Phys. (1999), 207-247.
- [B2] J. Bourgain, *Global solutions of nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Colloquim Publication, AMS, 1999.
- [B3] J. Bourgain, *On the growth of Sobolev norms in linear Schrödinger operators with smooth time dependent potential*, J. Anal. Math. **77** (1999), 315-348.
- [Hö] L. Hörmander, *Analysis of linear partial differential operators I, II*, Springer-Verlag, 1990.
- [N] V. Nersesyan, *Growth of Sobolev norms and controllability of Schrödinger equation*, ArXiv: 0804.3982v2 (2008).
- [W] W.-M. Wang, *Bounded Sobolev norms for linear Schrödinger equations under resonant perturbations*, J. Func. Anal. **254** (2008), 2926-2946.

DEPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUE, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS SUD, 91405 ORSAY CEDEX, FRANCE

E-mail address: `wei-min.wang@math.u-psud.fr`